- WOOLDRIDGE
 CHAPTER TWO
- The simple regression
 model
 - Assumption 1 linearity in parameters

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + u \tag{1}$$





- Assumption 2 Random Sampling
 - We can use a random sample of size n (x,y);I = 1,2,3,...n) from the population model.
 - We can rewrite the previous equation

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + u, \ i = 1, 2, ... n$$
 (2)

 Where u_i contains the unobservables for observation i that affect y_i. Not to be confused with the residuals

EDITH COWAN

- Econometrics V lecture 2
- Assumption 3 (Zero conditional mean)
- E(u|x) = 0
- For a random sample, this assumption implies that E(u|x) = 0, for all I = 1,2, ..., n
- Technically, conditioning on the sample values of x, the independent variable is the same as treating the x_i as fixed in repeated sampling.



- **Econometrics V lecture 2**
- Zero Conditional Mean
- We need to make a crucial assumption about how *u* and *x* are related
- We want it to be the case that knowing something about x does not give us any information about u, so that they are completely unrelated. That is, that
- E(u|x) = E(u) = 0, which implies
- $E(y|x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$









- Basic idea of regression is to estimate the population parameters from a sample
- Let {(x_i, y_i): i=1, ...,n} denote a random sample of size n from the population
- For each observation in this sample, it will be the case that
- $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + U_i$







- **Econometrics V lecture 2**
- Deriving OLS Estimates
- To derive the OLS estimates we need to realize that our main assumption of E(u|x) = E(u) = 0 also implies that

•
$$\operatorname{Cov}(x, u) = \operatorname{E}(xu) = 0$$

• Why? Remember from basic probability that Cov(X,Y) = E(XY) - E(X)E(Y)



• We can write our 2 restrictions just in terms of x, y, β_0 and β_1 , since $u = y - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x$

•
$$\mathsf{E}(y - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x) = 0$$

- $\mathsf{E}[x(y \beta_0 \beta_1 x)] = 0$
- These are called moment restrictions



• The method of moments approach to estimation implies imposing the population moment restrictions on the sample moments

 What does this mean? Recall that for E(X), the mean of a population distribution, a sample estimator of E(X) is simply the arithmetic mean of the sample



- We want to choose values of the parameters that will ensure that the sample versions of our moment restrictions are true
- The sample versions are as follows:

$$n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_i \right) = 0$$
$$n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \left(y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_i \right) = 0$$

Econometrics V lecture 2



• Given the definition of a sample mean, and properties of summation, we can rewrite the first condition as follows

$$\overline{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \overline{x},$$

or

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \overline{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \overline{x}$$









Econometrics V lecture 2

 So the OLS estimated slope is



provided that
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})^2 > 0$$





- The slope estimate is the sample covariance between x and y divided by the sample variance of x
- If x and y are positively correlated, the slope will be positive
- If x and y are negatively correlated, the slope will be negative
- Only need *x* to vary in our sample



- Intuitively, OLS is fitting a line through the sample points such that the sum of squared residuals is as small as possible, hence the term least squares
- The residual, \hat{u} , is an estimate of the error term, u, and is the difference between the fitted line (sample regression function) and the sample point







- Given the intuitive idea of fitting a line, we can set up a formal minimization problem
- That is, we want to choose our parameters such that we minimize the following:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{u}_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_i)^2$$



 If one uses calculus to solve the minimization problem for the two parameters you obtain the following first order conditions, which are the same as we obtained before, multiplied by n

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y_{i} - \hat{\beta}_{0} - \hat{\beta}_{1} x_{i} \right) = 0$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \left(y_{i} - \hat{\beta}_{0} - \hat{\beta}_{1} x_{i} \right) = 0$$



Algebraic Properties of OLS

- The sum of the OLS residuals is zero
- Thus, the sample average of the OLS residuals is zero as well
- The sample covariance between the regressors and the OLS residuals is zero
- The OLS regression line always goes through the mean of the sample







We can think of each observation as being made up of an explained part, and an unexplained part, $y_i = \hat{y}_i + \hat{u}_i$ We then define the following : $\sum (y_i - \overline{y})^2$ is the total sum of squares (SST) $\sum (\hat{y}_i - \overline{y})^2$ is the explained sum of squares (SSE) $\sum \hat{u}_i^2$ is the residual sum of squares (SSR) Then SST = SSE + SSR

Econometrics V lecture 2

Proof that SST =
 SSE + SSR

 $\sum (y_i - \overline{y})^2 = \sum \left[(y_i - \hat{y}_i) + (\hat{y}_i - \overline{y}) \right]^2$ $=\sum \left[\hat{u}_i + (\hat{y}_i - \overline{y})\right]^2$ $= \sum \hat{u}_{i}^{2} + 2\sum \hat{u}_{i}(\hat{y}_{i} - \bar{y}) + \sum (\hat{y}_{i} - \bar{y})^{2}$ = SSR $+2\sum \hat{u}_i(\hat{y}_i - \overline{y}) +$ SSE and we know that $\sum \hat{u}_i(\hat{y}_i - \overline{y}) = 0$





- **Econometrics V lecture 2**
- Goodness-of-Fit
- How do we think about how well our sample regression line fits our sample data?
- Can compute the fraction of the total sum of squares (SST) that is explained by the model, call this the R-squared of regression

• $R^2 = SSE/SST = 1 - SSR/SST$



Unbiasedness of OLS

- Assume the population model is linear in parameters as $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + u$
- Assume we can use a random sample of size *n*, {(*x_i*, *y_i*): *i*=1, 2, ..., *n*}, from the population model. Thus we can write the sample model $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + u_i$
- Assume E(u|x) = 0 and thus $E(u_i|x_i) = 0$
- Assume there is variation in the x_i



- In order to think about unbiasedness, we need to rewrite our estimator in terms of the population parameter
- Start with a simple rewrite of the formula as

$$\hat{\beta}_{1} = \frac{\sum (x_{i} - \bar{x})y_{i}}{s_{x}^{2}}, \text{ where}$$

$$s_{x}^{2} \equiv \sum (x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2}$$



 $\sum (x_i - \overline{x}) y_i = \sum (x_i - \overline{x}) (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + u_i) =$ $\sum (x_i - \overline{x})\beta_0 + \sum (x_i - \overline{x})\beta_1 x_i$ $+\sum (x_i - \overline{x})\mu_i =$ $\beta_0 \sum (x_i - \overline{x}) + \beta_1 \sum (x_i - \overline{x}) x_i$ $+\sum (x_i - \overline{x})\mu_i$



$$\sum (x_i - \overline{x}) = 0,$$

$$\sum (x_i - \overline{x})x_i = \sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2$$

so, the numerator can be rewritten as

$$\beta_1 s_x^2 + \sum (x_i - \overline{x})u_i, \text{ and thus}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \beta_1 + \frac{\sum (x_i - \overline{x})u_i}{s_x^2}$$



let
$$d_i = (x_i - \overline{x})$$
, so that
 $\hat{\beta}_i = \beta_1 + (1/s_x^2) \sum d_i u_i$, then
 $E(\hat{\beta}_1) = \beta_1 + (1/s_x^2) \sum d_i E(u_i) = \beta_1$



- **Econometrics V lecture 2**
- The OLS estimates of β_1 and β_0 are unbiased
- Proof of unbiasedness depends on our 4 assumptions – <u>if any assumption fails</u>, then OLS is not necessarily unbiased
- Remember unbiasedness is a description of the estimator – in a given sample we may be "near" or "far" from the true parameter



- Now we know that the sampling distribution of our estimate is centered around the true parameter
- Want to think about how spread out this distribution is
- Much easier to think about this variance under an additional assumption, so
- Assume $Var(u|x) = \sigma^2$ (Homoskedasticity)



- $Var(u|x) = E(u^2|x) [E(u|x)]^2$
- E(u|x) = 0, so $\sigma^2 = E(u^2|x) = E(u^2) = Var(u)$
- Thus σ^2 is also the unconditional variance, called the error variance
- σ , the square root of the error variance is called the standard deviation of the error
- Can say: $E(y|x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$ and $Var(y|x) = \sigma^2$



- Back to our Assumption 4
- Sample variation in the independent variable

- The independent variables x_i , I = 1, 2, ..., n

- Are not equal to the same constant. This requires some variation in x in the population to do our estimation.
- This implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_i - \overline{x} \right)^2 > 0$$







- Assumption 5 Homoscedasticity
- u_i has the same variance at all values of Xi. this is referred to as "homoskedasticity"
- ii. the predicted values of Y are equally good at all levels of X





• Variance of OLS

$$Var(\hat{\beta}_{1}) = Var\left(\beta_{1} + \left(\frac{1}{s_{x}^{2}}\right)\sum d_{i}u_{i}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{s_{x}^{2}}\right)^{2}Var\left(\sum d_{i}u_{i}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{s_{x}^{2}}\right)^{2}\sum d_{i}^{2}Var(u_{i})$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{s_{x}^{2}}\right)^{2}\sum d_{i}^{2}\sigma^{2} = \sigma^{2}\left(\frac{1}{s_{x}^{2}}\right)^{2}\sum d_{i}^{2} = \sigma^{2}\left(\frac{1}{s_{x}^{2}}\right)^{2}\sum d_{i}^{2} = \sigma^{2}\left(\frac{1}{s_{x}^{2}}\right)^{2}s_{x}^{2} = \sigma^{2}\left(\frac{1}{s_{x}^{2}}\right)^{2}s_{x}^{2} = Var(\hat{\beta}_{1})$$





- The larger the error variance, σ^2 , the larger the variance of the slope estimate
- The larger the variability in the *x*_i, the smaller the variance of the slope estimate
- As a result, a larger sample size should decrease the variance of the slope estimate
- Problem that the error variance is unknown



- We don't know what the error variance, σ^2 , is, because we don't observe the errors, u_i
- What we observe are the residuals, \hat{u}_i
- We can use the residuals to form an estimate of the error variance

Econometrics V lecture 2



$$\hat{u}_{i} = y_{i} - \hat{\beta}_{0} - \hat{\beta}_{1}x_{i}$$

= $(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}x_{i} + u_{i}) - \hat{\beta}_{0} - \hat{\beta}_{1}x_{i}$
= $u_{i} - (\hat{\beta}_{0} - \beta_{0}) - (\hat{\beta}_{1} - \beta_{1})$

Then, an unbiased estimator of σ^2 is

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{(n-2)} \sum \hat{u}_i^2 = SSR / (n-2)$$



Econometrics V lecture 2

 $\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2}$ = Standard error of the regression recall that $sd(\hat{\beta}) = \frac{\sigma}{s_x}$

if we substitute $\hat{\sigma}$ for σ then we have

the standard error of $\hat{\beta}_1$,

$$\operatorname{se}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \hat{\sigma} / \left(\sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$